

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,285	11/20/2001	Noboru Konno	F-7179	1073
28107 7:	590 01/04/2005		EXAMINER	
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP 122 EAST 42ND STREET SUITE 4000			WON, MICHAEL YOUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10168			

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/989,285	KONNO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Y Won	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 N This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/989,285

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-9 have been examined and are pending with this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bromberg et al. (US 6,529,889 B1).

As per claim 1, Bromberg teaches a system (see abstract) for supporting knowledge creation and managing knowledge assets (see col.4, lines 2-11) by using four evaluation templates (see col.25, lines 64-67) wherein a member of an organization is evaluated (see col.11, lines 46-47: "evaluation process") accordingly to each of said four evaluation templates (implicit: see col.25, lines 64-67 and reference locations provided below), inputting obtained results from said evaluation templates into a computer (see col.10, lines 35-43 and col.38, lines 15-17), and analyzing results to show how said member acts in the actual situation (see col.4, lines 15-17; col.6, lines

Art Unit: 2155

29-34; and col.19, line 61-col.20, line 5), said four evaluation templates comprising: a socialization evaluation template for evaluating a socialization process to capture tacit knowledge (see col.8, lines 30-32) inside and outside the organization (see col.8, lines 30-32 and col.10, lines 35-40 & 49-50); an externalization evaluation template for evaluating an externalization process to express tacit knowledge (see col.8, lines 30-32) in a comprehensible way (subjective) and convert it into explicit knowledge (see col.10, lines 43-48 & 50-53); a combination evaluation template for evaluating a combination process to relate such explicit knowledge to other pieces of explicit knowledge inside and outside the organization (see col.13, lines 32-35 and col.38, lines 20-22); and an internalization evaluation template for evaluating an internalization process to convert explicit knowledge into tacit knowledge (see col.8, lines 30-32) though practice (see col.6, lines 39-46; col.11, lines 56-65; col.29, lines 11-23; and col.38, lines 24-26).

As per claim 2, Bromberg teaches a system (see abstract) for supporting knowledge creation and managing knowledge assets (see col.4, lines 2-11) comprising: a database (see col.3, lines 49-53 and col.8, lines 8-10) including a socialization evaluation template for evaluating a socialization process to capture tacit knowledge (see col.8, lines 30-32) inside and outside the organization (see col.8, lines 30-32 and col.10, lines 35-40 & 49-50), an externalization evaluation template for evaluating an externalization process to express tacit knowledge (see col.8, lines 30-32) in a comprehensible way (subjective) and convert it into explicit knowledge (see col.10, lines 43-48 & 50-53), a combination evaluation template for evaluating a combination process to relate such explicit knowledge to other pieces of explicit knowledge inside and

Application/Control Number: 09/989,285

Art Unit: 2155

outside the organization (see col.13, lines 32-35 and col.38, lines 20-22), an internalization evaluation template for evaluating an internalization process to convert explicit knowledge into tacit knowledge (see col.6, lines 39-46; col.8, lines 30-32; col.11, lines 56-65; col.29, lines 11-23; and col.38, lines 24-26), a computer for reading and outputting said evaluation templates from said database, whereby evaluation results about a member of an organization that are entered in said template are evaluated and the results of said analysis that represent the actual situation of how said member works are outputted (see col.10, lines 40-48).

As per claim 3, Bromberg teaches of further comprising a tacit knowledge (see col.8, lines 30-32) expression template (see col.25, lines 64-67), said tacit knowledge expression template being read and output by a computer as a reference syntax for expressing tacit knowledge in the externalization process (inherent).

As per claim 4, Bromberg teaches of further comprising a glossary for a tacit knowledge expression template in said database, said glossary being read and output by a computer (see col.24, lines 29-33), terms included in said glossary being applicable to said tacit knowledge expression template (see col.5, lines 27-40 and col.23, lines 57-64).

As per claim 5, Bromberg further teaches wherein said system automatically presents a knowledge classification map which indicates inter-relatedness of each said knowledge, when said explicit knowledge is requested by a computer from said database which contains knowledge accumulated after the combination process (see col.38, lines 18-19).

Application/Control Number: 09/989,285

Art Unit: 2155

As per claim 6, Bromberg further teaches wherein a knowledge consultant manages a database (see col.8, lines 33-35) and a customer organization has a computer which enables use of every template by accessing said database through a two-way communication network (see col.24, lines 29-33).

As per claim 7, Bromberg further teaches wherein a database managed by a knowledge consultant also contains accumulated intellectual resource data gained by making a contract between said knowledge consultant and owner of intellectual property (implicit: see col.24, lines 44-60: "contract number").

As per claim 8, Bromberg further teaches wherein a manager manages a database, one or more organizations acting as a knowledge consultant has a server accessible to said database through a two-way communication network, and a customer organization has a computer that works as a client of said server of said knowledge consultant by accessing thereto through a two-way communication network (see claim 6 rejection above), and said database contains different templates available for said customer through said access to said server (implicit: see col.25, lines 64-67: "dynamic").

As per claim 9, Bromberg further teaches wherein a database managed by a manager includes accumulated intellectual property data obtained through making contract between said manager and the owner of said intellectual property (see claim 7 rejection above).

Art Unit: 2155

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Y Won whose telephone number is 571-272-3993. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 7AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Won

December 17, 2004

BHARAT BAROT